UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,154	12/27/2004	Toshio Nomura	1152-0312PUS1	7211
2292 7590 08/12/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			RASHID, DAVID	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,154	NOMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID P. RASHID	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Degraphs</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practi	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the apprending of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a) accepted or b) object	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached Office	7.00.011 01 101111 1 10-10 2 .
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/2004; 04/26/2006; 10/16/2006; 12/	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 12/2006; 6) Other:	ate



Application No.

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

[1] All of the examiner's suggestions presented hereinafter have been assumed for examination purposes, unless otherwise noted.

Amendments

[2] This office action is responsive to the preliminary claim amendment received Dec. 27, 2004.

Priority

- [3] Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) (App. No. JP2002-189470, filed Dec. 27, 2004), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- [4] MPEP §201 reads in relevant part:

An applicant may incorporate by reference the foreign priority application by including, in the U.S. application-as-filed, <u>an explicit statement</u> that such specifically enumerated foreign priority application or applications are "hereby incorporated by reference.

MPEP §201.13 II G, emphasis added.

The statement must appear in the specification. *See* 37 CFR 1.57(b) and MPEP §608.01(p)." – it is suggested to incorporate by reference the foreign priority application by including an explicit statement in the specification.

Drawings

- [5] The following is a quote from 37 C.F.R. 1.84:
 - (1) The different views must be numbered in consecutive Arabic numerals, starting with 1, independent of the numbering of the sheets and, if possible, in the order in which they appear on the drawing sheet(s). Partial views intended to form one complete view, on one or several sheets, must be identified by the same number followed by a capital letter. View numbers must be preceded by the abbreviation "FIG." Where only a single view is used in an application to illustrate the claimed invention, it must not be numbered and the abbreviation "FIG." must not appear.
- 37 C.F.R. 1.84(u)(1), *emphasis added*.

Art Unit: 2624

The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.84(u)(1) for failing to properly use partial views (e.g., make the following changes for FIG. 2 - remove "FIG. 2" and replace "(a)" with "FIG. 2A", replace "(b)" with "FIG.2B").

- FIG. 16 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Art Unit: 2624

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

[9] 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

[10] Claims 1, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 1, l. 1 cites "[a]n image data distribution <u>system</u>" (*emphasis added*) but it is not clear what the system is, whether the system is a machine or process. The claims are broad enough to allow <u>the system to be a program</u> as supported by client item 11 and server item 1 of fig. 1 inherently requiring a program to perform the claims in question, which is non-statutory.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected for similar reasoning for not alleviating the claim 1 rejection.

[11] Claims 2, 7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed apparatus is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The means-plus-function language is supported by software/program enablement which is non-statutory. The claims are broad enough to allow the system to be a program as supported by client item 11 and server item 1 of fig. 1 inherently requiring a program to perform the claims in question, which is non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

[12] The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2624

[13] Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. *See* 101 rejections above.

- [14] The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- [15] Claims 1, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner is unclear what a "system" in fact is, whether an apparatus or method, as the elements of the system invoking 112 6th paragraph do not provide any further support.
- [16] Claims 1-2 and 4-10 defines applicant's invention in a "means plus function" format, reciting a "mean for" followed by functional language, and not limited by structure. Thus, "means plus function" is automatically invoked. *See* In re Donaldson Co., 16 F.3d 1189, 29 USPQ2d 1845 (Fed. Cir. 1994); and MPEP 2181. However, in order to invoke mean plus function, the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph requirements must be met. *See* MPEP 2181 titled "IDENTIFYING A 35 U.S.C. 112, SIXTH PARAGRAPH LIMITATION". MPEP 2163 and 2181 state, and supports with the applicable law, the following:

If a claim limitation invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 6, it must be interpreted to cover the corresponding structure, materials, or acts in the specification and "equivalents thereof." See 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 6. See also *B. Braun Medical, Inc. v. Abbott Lab.*, 124 F.3d 1419, 1424, 43 USPQ2d 1896, 1899 (Fed. Cir. 1997). In considering whether there is 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 1 support for a means- (or step) plus-function claim limitation, the examiner must consider not only the original disclosure contained in the summary and detailed description of the invention portions of the specification, but also the original claims, abstract, and drawings.

Art Unit: 2624

35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph states that a claim limitation expressed in means-plus-function language "shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure... described in the specification and equivalents thereof." "If one employs means plus function language in a claim, one must set forth in the specification an adequate disclosure showing what is meant by that language. If an applicant fails to set forth an adequate disclosure, the applicant has in effect failed to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention as required by the second paragraph of section 112." *In re Donaldson Co.*, 16 F.3d 1189, 1195, 29 USPQ2d 1845, 1850 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (in banc).

Page 6

and

A means- (or step-) plus-function claim limitation is adequately described under 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 1, if: (1) The written description adequately links or associates adequately described particular structure, material, or acts to the function recited in a means- (or step-) plus-function claim limitation; or (2) it is clear based on the facts of the application that one skilled in the art would have known what structure, material, or acts perform the function recited in a means- (or step-) plus-function limitation. Note also: A rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, para. 2, "cannot stand where there is adequate description in the specification to satisfy 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, regarding means-plus-function recitations that are not, per se, challenged for being unclear." *In re Noll*, 545 F.2d 141, 149, 191 USPQ 721, 727 (CCPA 1976).

Upon reviewing applicant's original disclosure (*i.e.*, specification, claims and drawings), it is the Examiner's conclusion that the written description <u>does not</u> link or associate particular structure to the function recited in the means-plus-function claim limitations, and it is <u>not</u> clear based on the facts of the application that one skilled in the art would have known what structure or materials perform the function recited in a means-plus-function limitation.

For example, claim 1 recites "a request information receiving means for receiving client's request information transmitted by way of a network" (*emphasis added*). The specification only refers to a generalized system for performing this function, as depicted in fig. 1. The specification fails to provide any specifics regarding the structure of these generalized functional blocks. The specification does not describe the specific structure of the "system". However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. *See* Ex parte Klumb, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

[17] The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- [18] Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,573,912 (filed Nov. 7, 2000, hereinafter "Suzuki et al.") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,525,732 (filed Feb. 17, 2000, hereinafter "Gadh et al.").

Regarding **claim 1**, while *Suzuki et al.* discloses an image data distribution system (fig. 2) comprising:

a request information receiving means (fig. 2, item 228) for receiving client's request information (fig. 4, item 244) transmitted by way of a network (fig. 4, item 230);

a request information analyzing means (fig. 2, item 228) for analyzing the request information received by the request information receiving means;

a multiple viewpoint image supply means (fig. 2, items 222-224) which selects necessary image data from coded ("and could then be compressed..." at 5:14-20) and stored multiple viewpoint image data of images (fig. 2, items 208-210) taken by a plurality of cameras (fig. 2, items 204-206), based on viewpoint information ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) from the request information analyzed by the request information analyzing means (fig. 2, item 228) and decodes (fig. 2, items 222-224 must decode data received by user and video captured in MPEG format (5:13-20)) and supplies selected data;

an image generating means (fig. 2, items 212-214, 224) which, based on image data supplied from the multiple viewpoint image supplying means (fig. 2, items 222-224), generates image data of an image viewed from a predetermined viewpoint ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) in conformity with the request information (fig. 2, item 244);

an image synthesizing means (fig. 2, item 228) for synthesizing a plurality of images data (images coming from item 226, 216-218) generated by the image generating means (fig. 2, items 212-214, 224), based on display unit information ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) from the request information (fig. 2, item 244);

a coding means (fig. 2, item 228) for coding image data ("compression can be included in network servers..." at 10:24-33) synthesized by the image synthesizing means (fig. 2, item 228);

a transmitting means (fig. 2, item 228) for transmitting coded image data by the coding means (fig. 2, item 228) to the network (fig. 2, item 230);

a receiving means (fig. 2, item 232) for receiving the coded image data via the network (fig. 2, item 228);

a decoding means (fig. 2, item 232) for decoding the coded image data ("corresponding decompression in network clients..." at 10:24-33) received by the receiving means (fig. 2, item 232);

an image processing means (fig. 2, item 242) for processing decoded image data by the decoding means network client (fig. 2, item 232) so as to be displayable on a display means ("novel view" item 240 at fig. 2);

a request information input means (fig. 2, item 242) for allowing input of the client's request information (fig. 2, item 244); and

Application/Control Number: 10/519,154

Art Unit: 2624

a request information transmitting means (fig. 2, item 232) for transmitting the request information to the network (fig. 2, item 230), *Suzuki et al.* does not disclose

(i) a display means for displaying image data processed by the image processing means.

Page 9

Gadh et al. teaches a display means ("[t]he object's image can then be transmitted for display by a client computer over a client-server network" at 2:3-7) for displaying image data processed by a image processing means (1:59-2:2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the image data distribution system of Suzuki et al. to include a display means for displaying image data processed by the image processing means as taught by Gadh et al. so that "the user may issue commands to manipulate the object so as to accurately simulate manipulation of the actual three-dimensional object. The client computer may display the object's image from one of the viewpoints. If the user then wishes to manipulate the object, the user will issue a command to the server to index from the coordinates of the first viewpoint to the coordinates of some adjacent viewpoint(s). The images of the adjacent viewpoints will then be displayed in a sequence corresponding to the order in which the coordinates of the viewpoints are indexed. As an example, the user may "rotate" the virtual object by indexing about the coordinates of viewpoints encircling the object, and images of the viewpoints at these coordinates will be displayed to the user in succession. To the user, this may appear as an animated view of the rotating three-dimensional object, or of a rotating three-dimensional model of the object, even though the display is rendered solely from two-dimensional images." (Gadh et al. at 2:4-22).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding **claim 2**, claim 1 recites identical features as in claim 2. Thus, references/arguments equivalent to those for claim 1 are equally applicable to claim 2.

Regarding **claim 4**, *Suzuki et al.* in view of *Gadh et al.* does not disclose further comprising a management information adding means for adding management information for enabling access to the image data of individual viewpoints and random access, to the multiple viewpoint image data.

Gadh et al. teaches a management information adding means (the element responsible for adding management information) for adding management information ("the viewpoint's coordinates about the object" at 1:59-63) for enabling access to the image data of individual viewpoints and random access ("issu[ing] a command to the server to index from the coordinates of the first viewpoint to the coordinates of some adjacent viewpoint(s)" at 2:3-22, emphasis added), to the multiple viewpoint image data ("some adjacent viewpoint(s)" at 2:3-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the image data distribution system of *Suzuki et al.* in view of *Gadh et al.* to include a management information adding means for adding management information for enabling access to the image data of individual viewpoints and random access, to the multiple viewpoint image data as taught by *Gadh et al.* so that "the user may "rotate" the virtual object by indexing about the coordinates of viewpoints encircling the object, and images of the viewpoints at these coordinates will be displayed to the user in succession. To the user, this may appear as an animated view of the rotating three-dimensional object, or of a rotating three-dimensional model of the object, even though the display is rendered solely from two-dimensional images." (*Gadh et al.*, 2:3-22).

Regarding **claim 5**, claim 4 recites identical features as in claim 5. Thus, references/arguments equivalent to those for claim 4 are equally applicable to claim 5.

Regarding **claim 6**, while *Suzuki et al.* discloses an image data distribution system (fig. 2) comprising:

a request information receiving means (fig. 2, item 228) for receiving client's request information (fig. 4, item 244) transmitted by way of a network (fig. 4, item 230);

a request information analyzing means (fig. 2, item 228) for analyzing the request information received by the request information receiving means;

a multiple viewpoint image supply means (fig. 2, items 222-224) for supplying multiple viewpoint image data;

an image generating means (fig. 2, items 212-214, 224) which, based on viewpoint information from the request information ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) analyzed by the request information analyzing means (fig. 2, item 228), receives input of necessary image data from the multiple viewpoint image supply means (fig. 2, items 222-224) and generates image data of an image viewed from a predetermined viewpoint ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) in conformity with the request information (fig. 2, item 244);

an image synthesizing means (fig. 2, item 228) for synthesizing a plurality of images data (images coming from item 226, 216-218) generated by the image generating means (fig. 2, items 212-214, 224), based on display unit information ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) from the request information (fig. 2, item 244);

a coding means (fig. 2, item 228) for coding image data ("compression can be included in network servers..." at 10:24-33) synthesized by the image synthesizing means (fig. 2, item 228);

Art Unit: 2624

a transmitting means (fig. 2, item 228) for transmitting coded image data by the coding means (fig. 2, item 228) to the network (fig. 2, item 230);

a receiving means (fig. 2, item 232) for receiving the coded image data via the network (fig. 2, item 228);

a decoding means (fig. 2, item 232) for decoding the coded image data ("corresponding decompression in network clients..." at 10:24-33) received by the receiving means (fig. 2, item 232);

an image processing means (fig. 2, item 242) for processing decoded image data by the decoding means network client (fig. 2, item 232) so as to be displayable on a display means ("novel view" item 240 at fig. 2);

a request information input means (fig. 2, item 242) for allowing input of the client's request information (fig. 2, item 244); and

a request information transmitting means (fig. 2, item 232) for transmitting the request information to the network (fig. 2, item 230),

a judgment means (fig. 2, item 232) for judging whether the received image data is of two-dimensional image data or stereoscopic image data (item 232 judges that the image as stereoscopic image data when it receives separate camera viewpoints items 234-236, 238; must judge this to interpolate image for "novel view" item 240), *Suzuki et al.* does not disclose

(i) a display means for displaying image data processed by the image processing means.

Gadh et al. teaches a display means ("[t]he object's image can then be transmitted for display by a client computer over a client-server network" at 2:3-7) for displaying image data processed by a image processing means (1:59-2:2).

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the image data distribution system of Suzuki et al. to include a display means for displaying image data processed by the image processing means as taught by Gadh et al. so that "the user may issue commands to manipulate the object so as to accurately simulate manipulation of the actual three-dimensional object. The client computer may display the object's image from one of the viewpoints. If the user then wishes to manipulate the object, the user will issue a command to the server to index from the coordinates of the first viewpoint to the coordinates of some adjacent viewpoint(s). The images of the adjacent viewpoints will then be displayed in a sequence corresponding to the order in which the coordinates of the viewpoints are indexed. As an example, the user may "rotate" the virtual object by indexing about the coordinates of viewpoints encircling the object, and images of the viewpoints at these coordinates will be displayed to the user in succession. To the user, this may appear as an animated view of the rotating three-dimensional object, or of a rotating three-dimensional model of the object, even though the display is rendered solely from two-dimensional images." (Gadh et al. at 2:4-22).

Regarding **claim 7**, claim 6 recites identical features as in claim 7. Thus, references/arguments equivalent to those for claim 6 are equally applicable to claim 7.

Regarding **claim 8**, while *Suzuki et al.* discloses an image data distribution system (fig. 2) comprising:

a request information receiving means (fig. 2, item 228) for receiving client's request information (fig. 4, item 244) transmitted by way of a network (fig. 4, item 230);

Application/Control Number: 10/519,154

Art Unit: 2624

7 Ht Omt. 2021

a request information analyzing means (fig. 2, item 228) for analyzing the request information received by the request information receiving means;

a multiple viewpoint image supply means (fig. 2, items 222-224) for supplying multiple viewpoint image data;

Page 14

an image generating means (fig. 2, items 212-214, 224) which, based on viewpoint information from the request information ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) analyzed by the request information analyzing means (fig. 2, item 228), receives input of necessary image data from the multiple viewpoint image supply means (fig. 2, items 222-224) and generates image data of an image viewed from a predetermined viewpoint ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) in conformity with the request information (fig. 2, item 244);

an image synthesizing means (fig. 2, item 228) for synthesizing a plurality of images data (images coming from item 226, 216-218) generated by the image generating means (fig. 2, items 212-214, 224), based on display unit information ("perspective selection" at fig. 2, item 244) from the request information (fig. 2, item 244);

a coding means (fig. 2, item 228) for coding image data ("compression can be included in network servers..." at 10:24-33) synthesized by the image synthesizing means (fig. 2, item 228);

a transmitting means (fig. 2, item 228) for transmitting coded image data by the coding means (fig. 2, item 228) to the network (fig. 2, item 230);

a receiving means (fig. 2, item 232) for receiving the coded image data via the network (fig. 2, item 228);

a decoding means (fig. 2, item 232) for decoding the coded image data ("corresponding decompression in network clients..." at 10:24-33) received by the receiving means (fig. 2, item 232);

an image processing means (fig. 2, item 242) for processing decoded image data by the decoding means network client (fig. 2, item 232) so as to be displayable on a display means ("novel view" item 240 at fig. 2);

a request information input means (fig. 2, item 242) for allowing input of the client's request information (fig. 2, item 244); and

a request information transmitting means (fig. 2, item 232) for transmitting the request information to the network (fig. 2, item 230),

an identification information adding means (fig. 2, item 228) for adding to the image data to be transmitted (via network item 230) a piece of information (item 228 receiving items 216-218, 224 is information itself that indicates that it is stereoscopic image data, it adds this information when it supplies image data to item 230), *Suzuki et al.* does not disclose

(i) a display means for displaying image data processed by the image processing means.

Gadh et al. teaches a display means ("[t]he object's image can then be transmitted for display by a client computer over a client-server network" at 2:3-7) for displaying image data processed by a image processing means (1:59-2:2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the image data distribution system of *Suzuki et al.* to include a display means for displaying image data processed by the image processing means as taught by *Gadh et al.* so that "the user may issue commands to manipulate the object so as to accurately simulate

manipulation of the actual three-dimensional object. The client computer may display the object's image from one of the viewpoints. If the user then wishes to manipulate the object, the user will issue a command to the server to index from the coordinates of the first viewpoint to the coordinates of some adjacent viewpoint(s). The images of the adjacent viewpoints will then be displayed in a sequence corresponding to the order in which the coordinates of the viewpoints are indexed. As an example, the user may "rotate" the virtual object by indexing about the coordinates of viewpoints encircling the object, and images of the viewpoints at these coordinates will be displayed to the user in succession. To the user, this may appear as an animated view of the rotating three-dimensional object, or of a rotating three-dimensional model of the object, even though the display is rendered solely from two-dimensional images." (*Gadh et al.* at 2:4-22).

Regarding **claim 9**, claim 8 recites identical features as in claim 9. Thus, references/arguments equivalent to those for claim 8 are equally applicable to claim 9.

Regarding **claim 10**, claim 8 recites identical features as in claim 10. Thus, references/arguments equivalent to those for claim 8 are equally applicable to claim 10.

Conclusion

- [19] The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 5864337 A; US 6241609 B1; US 20030122949 A1; US 20040032649 A1; and US 6803912 B1.
- [20] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David P. Rashid whose telephone number is (571) 270-1578. The examiner can normally be reached Monday Friday 8:30 17:00 ET.

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on (571) 272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/<u>David P. Rashid</u>/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

David P Rashid Examiner Art Unit 2624

/Vikkram Bali/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624